

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,172	07/22/2005	Manabu Kii	275193US6PCT	7849	
OBLON, SPIN	7590 09/24/200 /AK. MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			VU, BAI D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2165			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/543,172	KII ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bai D. Vu	2165	

	Bai D. Vu	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			appeal. Since a				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37 (CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection. 			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		luoina or aimplifuina ti	no inques for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165

/Christian P. Chace/

/C. T. T./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Adding the limitations - i.e., "included in the contents file" in independent claim 1; and "included in said contents file" in independent claims 5-7, 9, 12 and 15-18 could have been earlier presented. In addition, the added limitations require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner respectfully requested applicant to specifically point out where in the specification of the instant application and/or related/incorporated applications supporting the feature "a reproducing apparatus having an insufficient memory capacity accesses said supplementary data included in the contents file" as amended on 03/24/2008. In the remarks dated on 09/12/2008, applicants indicated that the above feature is particularly disclosed at page 16, lines 16-19; however, the examiner has not seen a clear connection between the above feature (e.g., an insufficient memory capacity) and the cited portion (e.g., a memory capacity being small). Thus, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is maintained.

Applicant argued that Ogihara et al. fails to disclose "a contents area configured to record a contents file, the contents file including contents data and supplementary data corresponding to said contents data".

In response to applicant's argument, examiner respectfully disagrees. Thus, the rejection of the limitaion above is maintained as discussed in pages 4 and 5 of the Final Action mailed on July 02, 2008.

Applicant submitted that dependent claim 3 patentably defines over any proper combination of the Ogihara et al., Fukuda, and Yodo.

In seponse to applicant's argument, examiner respectfully disagrees. Thus, the rejection of claim 3 is maintained as discussed in pages and 29 of the Final Action mailed on July 02, 2008.